

SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 06/30/09
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Arlington

State: TX

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$18,733,287

PJ's Size Grouping*: B

PJ Since (FY): 1992

| Category | PJ | State Average | State Rank | Nat'l Average | Nat'l Ranking (Percentile):* | | |
|--|----------|---------------|------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | Group | B | Overall |
| | | | | PJs in State: | 39 | | |
| Program Progress: | | | | | | | |
| % of Funds Committed | 92.57 % | 91.50 % | 18 | 93.41 % | 42 | 37 | |
| % of Funds Disbursed | 86.10 % | 83.16 % | 13 | 84.68 % | 48 | 47 | |
| Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities | 0.53 | 4.57 | 19 | 4.72 | 8 | 11 | |
| % of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments*** | 100.00 % | 72.59 % | 1 | 80.76 % | 100 | 100 | |
| % of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations*** | 85.07 % | 56.65 % | 5 | 68.18 % | 83 | 79 | |
| Low-Income Benefit: | | | | | | | |
| % of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters | 100.00 % | 75.74 % | 1 | 80.32 % | 100 | 100 | |
| % of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters*** | 80.00 % | 41.33 % | 5 | 45.16 % | 97 | 94 | |
| Lease-Up: | | | | | | | |
| % of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units*** | 100.00 % | 98.19 % | 1 | 95.04 % | 100 | 100 | |
| Overall Ranking: | | | In State: | 5 / 39 | Nationally: | 84 83 | |
| HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed Units: | | | | | | | |
| Rental Unit | \$40,924 | \$15,094 | | \$26,037 | 20 Units | 1.30 % | |
| Homebuyer Unit | \$8,122 | \$9,687 | | \$14,755 | 931 Units | 59.60 % | |
| Homeowner-Rehab Unit | \$18,144 | \$30,646 | | \$20,487 | 116 Units | 7.40 % | |
| TBRA Unit | \$6,549 | \$3,738 | | \$3,225 | 496 Units | 31.70 % | |

* - A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (202 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (293 PJs)

** - E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

***- This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Arlington TX

Total Development Costs:
(average reported cost per unit in
HOME-assisted projects)

| | Rental | Homebuyer | Homeowner |
|-------------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| PJ: | \$59,026 | \$81,487 | \$19,026 |
| State:* | \$54,567 | \$59,595 | \$33,345 |
| National:** | \$92,323 | \$73,745 | \$23,292 |

CHDO Operating Expenses:
(% of allocation)

PJ: 0.3 %
National Avg: 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index: 0.82

| | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % | | Rental % | Homebuyer % | Homeowner % | TBRA % |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| RACE: | | | | | HOUSEHOLD TYPE: | | | | |
| White: | 35.0 | 47.4 | 81.0 | 36.7 | Single/Non-Elderly: | 0.0 | 22.7 | 11.2 | 25.0 |
| Black/African American: | 50.0 | 22.7 | 11.2 | 54.0 | Elderly: | 75.0 | 2.0 | 50.0 | 2.6 |
| Asian: | 5.0 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.0 | Related/Single Parent: | 20.0 | 28.0 | 13.8 | 61.5 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native: | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.4 | Related/Two Parent: | 5.0 | 40.1 | 19.8 | 7.5 |
| Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | Other: | 0.0 | 7.2 | 5.2 | 3.4 |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian and White: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Black/African American and White: | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Other Multi Racial: | 10.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Asian/Pacific Islander: | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | | | | | |
| ETHNICITY: | | | | | SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL ASSISTANCE: | | | | |
| Hispanic | 0.0 | 27.7 | 6.0 | 7.3 | Section 8: | 0.0 | 0.0 [#] | | |
| HOUSEHOLD SIZE: | | | | | HOME TBRA: | 30.0 | | | |
| 1 Person: | 65.0 | 17.0 | 34.5 | 24.2 | Other: | 70.0 | | | |
| 2 Persons: | 10.0 | 21.8 | 29.3 | 21.6 | No Assistance: | 0.0 | | | |
| 3 Persons: | 5.0 | 24.5 | 14.7 | 22.2 | | | | | |
| 4 Persons: | 10.0 | 21.6 | 12.1 | 18.3 | | | | | |
| 5 Persons: | 5.0 | 11.1 | 5.2 | 8.7 | | | | | |
| 6 Persons: | 5.0 | 3.0 | 4.3 | 2.4 | | | | | |
| 7 Persons: | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 2.0 | | | | | |
| 8 or more Persons: | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | | | | | |
| | | | | | # of Section 504 Compliant Units / Completed Units Since 2001 | | | | 16 |

* The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state

** The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.



**HOME PROGRAM
SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS**
Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Arlington State: TX Group Rank: 84
(Percentile)
State Rank: 5 / 39 PJs Overall Rank: 83
(Percentile)
Summary: 0 / Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

| FACTOR | DESCRIPTION | THRESHOLD* | PJ RESULTS | RED FLAG |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|------------|----------|
| 4 | % OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS | < 72.37% | 100 | |
| 5 | % OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS | < 49.28% | 85.07 | |
| 6 | % OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME | < 70%** | 100 | |
| 8 | % OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS | < 90.81% | 100 | |
| "ALLOCATION-YEARS" NOT DISBURSED*** | | > 3.070 | 1.77 | |

* This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs

** This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

*** Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

HOME Program Performance SNAPSHOT

Page 3

